#### On the maximally flatness group delay property of Bessel polynomials

# Christian CARIMALO<sup>1</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

In this note, we report a simple proof that Bessel polynomials satisfy maximally flat group delay requirement for low-pass filters.

### Keywords : Analog Filters, Group Delay, Bessel Polynomials

The property of maximally flat variation of group delay with respect to pulsation for a low-pass filter if its transfer function is constructed with a Bessel polynomial, is commonly derived using approximate expansions of trigonometric functions (see Ref [1] and references therein). In a radically different way, the approach presented here allows one to obtain the result without any expansion of function.

The proof is as follows. For an harmonic regime with pulsation  $\omega$ , let us denote  $\mathcal{P}(j\omega) = 1/H(j\omega)$   $(j^2 = -1)$  the polynomial of degree n in the variable  $j\omega$ , that appears in the denominator of the transfer function  $H(j\omega)$  of a low-pass filter of order n. Let us split it into its real part  $U(\omega)$  and its imaginary part  $V(\omega)$  and write

$$\mathcal{P}(j\omega) = |\mathcal{P}(j\omega)| e^{j\psi(\omega)} = U(\omega) + jV(\omega) \tag{1}$$

so as

$$|\mathcal{P}(j\omega)|^2 = U^2(\omega) + V^2(\omega), \quad \psi(\omega) = \tan^{-1} \frac{V(\omega)}{U(\omega)}$$
(2)

Assuming, as usual, that  $\mathcal{P}(j\omega)$  has real coefficients,  $U(\omega)$  and  $V(\omega)$  are polynomials in  $\omega$  that are, respectively, even and odd. Consequently, if n is even, the respective degrees of U and V are n and n-1. If n is odd, they are n-1 and n, respectively.

To express the group delay  $\tau(\omega) = \frac{d\psi}{d\omega}(\omega)$  requires the knowledge of the derivative of the phase shift  $\psi(\omega)$  with respect to  $\omega$ , which we express in an obvious way by means of the derivative of  $\tan \psi$ :

$$\frac{d\psi}{d\omega} = \frac{1}{1 + \tan^2\psi} \frac{d\tan\psi}{d\omega} = \frac{1}{U^2 + V^2} \left[ U\frac{dV}{d\omega} - V\frac{dU}{d\omega} \right]$$
(3)

 $<sup>{}^{1}{\</sup>rm christian. carimalo @sorbonne-universite. fr}$ 

The numerator and the denominator of the last expression are both polynomials in the variable  $\omega^2$ . As polynomials in  $\omega$ , the numerator has degree 2n-2, whereas the denominator has degree 2n, whatever the parity of n is. Hence, the impossibility to obtain a strictly constant group delay by means of polynomials, a well-known fact. However, we can approach a quasi constant value of  $\tau$  in a large part of the passband  $0 \le \omega \le \omega_c$ , if we demand that in (3) the numerator be proportional to the denominator, up to a term proportional to  $(\omega/\omega_c)^{2n}$  which, by the way, decreases in this range as n increases. So, let us try the trick

$$U\frac{dV}{d\omega} - V\frac{dU}{d\omega} = K_1 \left[ U^2 + V^2 \right] + K_2 \,\omega^{2n} \tag{4}$$

where  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are real constants, with  $K_1 > 0$ . By a suitable definition of a non-dimensional variable  $x = \omega/K_1$ , we may rewrite (4) in the form

$$UV' - VU' = U^2 + V^2 + Kx^{2n}$$
(5)

where the symbol "prime" represents now the derivative with respect to x and K is another real constant. Since K is constant, we have

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left\{\frac{1}{x^{2n}}\left[UV' - VU' - U^2 - V^2\right]\right\} = \frac{dK}{dx} = 0$$
(6)

Performing the derivative, we get the equation

$$U[xV'' - 2nV' - 2xU' + 2nU] + V[-xU'' + 2nU' - 2xV' + 2nV] = 0$$
(7)

that can be written in the form

$$\Re\left[\mathcal{P}^{\star}E\right] = 0 \tag{8}$$

where E is the complex number defined by

$$\Re[E] = xV'' - 2nV' - 2xU' + 2nU, \quad \Im[E] = -xU'' + 2nU' - 2xV' + 2nV, \text{ hence}$$
$$E = jx(-U'' - jV'') - 2jx(V' - jU') - 2n(V' - jU') + 2n(U + jV) \quad (9)$$

Now, using the variable s = jx, the derivatives of  $\mathcal{P}$  with respect to s are

$$\frac{d\mathcal{P}}{ds} = -jU' + V', \quad \frac{d^2\mathcal{P}}{ds^2} = -U'' - jV''$$
(10)

It then follows that

$$E = s\frac{d^2\mathcal{P}}{ds^2} - 2(s+n)\frac{d\mathcal{P}}{ds} + 2n\mathcal{P}$$
(11)

Given (8), it seems natural, discarding other exotic possibilities, to search for polynomials satisfying  $E \equiv 0$ . This leads us to the equation

$$s\frac{d^2\mathcal{P}}{ds^2} - 2(s+n)\frac{d\mathcal{P}}{ds} + 2n\mathcal{P} = 0$$
(12)

which is exactly that of Bessel polynomials  $\theta_n(s)$ , whose mathematical properties are extensively described in Ref [3]. This proves the optimality of Bessel polynomials as regards the desired flatness property of group delay.

To go further and noticing that  $\tau(\omega)$  does not depend on the normalization of  $\mathcal{P}$ , let us take<sup>2</sup>

$$\mathcal{P}(s) = \theta_n(s) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(2n-k)!}{n!(n-k)!} (2s)^k \tag{13}$$

Then, it is seen that the highest degree term  $s^n$  in this sum has coefficient 1. This means that the term which is lacking in UV' - VU' to match  $U^2 + V^2$  is exactly  $x^{2n}$ . Consequently, we must set K = -1 in (5). We then arrive to the remarkable formula

$$\frac{d\psi}{dx}(x) = 1 - \frac{x^{2n}}{|\theta_n(jx)|^2}$$
(14)

valid for Bessel polynomials only, and potentially useful for practical purposes as already pointed out by I.M. Filanovsky in Ref [2] (formula (28)). That formula provides a simple way to check the said flatness property by means of its last term,  $\eta = \frac{x^{2n}}{|\theta_n(jx)|^2}$ , computed for some characteristic value of x. The latter is taken as 1 in table I, where it is shown how  $\eta(1)$  hugely decreases as n is increasing. Finally, it is easy to deduce from (14) that in the other extreme limit  $x \gg 1$ , the group delay is decreasing as x increases, according to

$$\frac{d\psi}{dx}(x) \simeq \frac{n(n+1)}{2x^2} \tag{15}$$

We end here, further studies, of  $\eta(x)$  in particular, being beyond the scope of this note.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6
$\eta(1) = 1/ \theta_n(j) ^2$	0.5	$7.710^{-2}$	$3.610^{-3}$	$7.810^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$	$8.410^{-9}$

 $\textbf{Table I}: \eta(1) \text{ as a function of } n \text{ for } n \leq 6$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Of course, one may finally define the transfer function as  $H(s) = \theta_n(0)/\theta_n(s)$ , in order to have H(0) = 1.

## REFERENCES

- I. M. Filanovsky, "One Simple Method for the Derivation of Bessel (Thomson) Filter Transfer Functions", Int. Journ. Electr. Eng. Education, vol. 18, No.2 (1981), pp. 90-92.
- 2 I.M. Filanovsky, "Enhancing Amplifiers/Filters Bandwidth by Transfer Function Zeros", Proc. ISCAS 2015, Lisbon, Portugal, pp. 141-144; IEEExplore 07168590.pdf.
- 3 E. Grosswald, "Bessel Polynomials", Lecture Notes in Mathematics 698, Springer-Verlag (1978).